•			/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/627,406	DEHON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tu-Tu Ho	2818	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED ) or other appropriate coministing its BGHTS. This application is	) in this application. If not included munication will be mailed in due course. <b>THIS</b>	live
1. $igwedge$ This communication is responsive to <u>Replies filed 09/20/2</u>	<u>004 and11/12/2004</u> .		
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-42,44 and 46-51</u> .			
3. $igotimes$ The drawings filed on 24 July 2003 are accepted by the E	xaminer.		
4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u a) All b) Some* c) None of the:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received:  Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submined in the priority of the priority of the priority document in the priority of	e been received. e been received in Application and this communication to fulfill the following reason (s) why the oath state of the submitted. son's Patent Drawing Revious Amendment / Comment (1.84(c)) should be written or the header according to 37 posit of BIOLOGICAL MA	tion No  yed in this national stage application from the yed in this national stage application from the gradient in the requirements.  XAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF or declaration is deficient.  iew ( PTO-948) attached  or in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the back) of CFR 1.121(d).  TERIAL must be submitted. Note the	
Supervisor	6. ☐ Interview Paper N 08), 7. ☐ Examiner	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Summary (PTO-413), o./Mail Date 's Amendment/Comment 's Statement of Reasons for Allowance	

Application/Control Number: 10/627,406

Art Unit: 2818

# Page 2

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restriction

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species IA, **claims 1-20 and 37-40**, in the reply filed on 11/12/2004 is acknowledged. However, the restriction is withdrawn as detailed below.
- 2. Claims 1-40 and 48-51 are directed to an allowable product. Pursuant to the procedures set forth in the Official Gazette notice dated March 26, 1996 (1184 O.G. 86), claims 41-42, 44, and 46-47, directed to the process of making or using the patentable product, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, are now subject to being rejoined. Claims 41-42, 44, and 46-47 are hereby rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.

Since all claims previously withdrawn from consideration under 37 CFR 1.142 have been rejoined, the restriction requirement made in the Office action mailed on 10/15/2004 is hereby withdrawn.

3. Claims 26 and 37 are generic and allowable. Accordingly, the restriction requirement as to the encompassed species is hereby withdrawn and claims 21-36, and 48-51, directed to Species IA and 1B are no longer withdrawn from consideration since all of the claims to this species depend from or otherwise include each of the limitations of an allowed generic claim.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement as to the linked species, applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) depending from or including all the

Art Unit: 2818

limitations of the allowable generic linking claim(s) be presented in a continuation or divisional application, such claims may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-17, 19-20, 18, 26-40, 21-25, 48-51, 41-42, 44, and 46-47 (to be renumbered 1-49) are allowable over the prior art of record.

The allowable subject matter for claims 18, 36, and 48-51 was stated in the Office Action mailed 06/22/2004.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance for claims 1-17, 19-20, 26-35, 37-40, 21-25, 41-42, 44, and 46-47: The prior art of record fails to teach or render obvious a memory array or a circuit for selecting a nanoscale wire among a plurality of nanoscale wires or a method of manufacturing a logic arrangement having microscale wires and nanoscale wires having all exclusive limitations as recited in claims 1, 26, 37, 21, and 41, comprising either: a first set of nanoscale wires and a second set of nanoscale wires, intersections of the first set of nanoscale wires and the second set of nanoscale wires defining memory locations, nanoscale wires of the first set and nanoscale wires of the second set comprising controllable regions axially distributed along the nanoscale wires, a first set of the controllable regions exhibiting a first physical property, and a second set of the controllable regions exhibiting a second physical property, different from the first physical property, and either further including addressing wires

Art Unit: 2818

(claims 1 and 41) or the controllable regions enabling addressing of the nanoscale wires (claim 37); or: a plurality of nanoscale wires, microscale ohmic contacts, and addressing wires, each ohmic each connected to a different subset of the plurality of nanoscale wires for selecting a specific subset of the plurality of nanoscale wires; the addressing wires associated with the different subsets of the plurality of nanoscale wires for selecting a nanoscale wire among the specific subset of nanoscale wires once the specific subset has been selected, the addressing wires associated with a first different subset being the same as those associated with a second different subset (claim 21), or: a plurality of nanoscale wires, a first set of microscale wires, and a second set of microscale wires, intersections of the nanoscale wires and the first set of microscale wires defining address locations to address one or more of the nanoscale wires, and intersections of the nanoscale wires and the second set of microscale wires defining memory locations (claim 26).

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu-Tu Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-1778. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,406

Art Unit: 2818

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DAVID NELMS can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

14

Tu-Tu Ho November 24, 2004 David Velms
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800